Oral Health Mini-Grants for 2005

The Arizona Department of Health Services, Office of Oral Health (ADHS–OOH) is pleased to announce the availability of funds to address the oral health of Arizonans. Funds are available to:

- 1. Conduct community oral health needs assessments and develop community oral health strategic plans (Communities requesting funds for needs assessment must complete both a needs assessment and a strategic plan in the same funding cycle)
- 2. **Develop community oral health strategic plans** (*Communities funded previously by ADHS–OOH to do a needs assessment are eligible for this category*)
- 3. Develop community oral health improvement projects based on actions in the National Call to Action to Promote Oral Health. (The National Call to Action to Promote Oral Health Call is available at: http://www.surgeongeneral.gov/topics/oralhealth/nationalcalltoaction.htm)

Award Amounts: Awards will range between \$500 and \$9,500 depending on the funding category

selected and proposed activity.

Category #1: Oral health needs assessment and strategic plans (up to \$7,000)

Category #2: Oral health strategic plans (for communities previously funded by ADHS-OOH to

do needs assessment – up to \$3,500)

Category #3: Oral health improvement projects (up to \$9,500)

Application Due Date: The funds will be awarded to applicants that meet the intention of the

grant guidance on a first come/first serve basis until all funds have been expended [Total amount available: \$69,000]. Applications will be accepted starting on December 1, 2004. The first major review of applications will be conducted on or about December 15, 2004. Applications will be reviewed in the order in which they are received.

Budget Period: January 1 – December 31, 2005 (Funding Category #1 and #3)

January 1 – June 30, 2005 (Funding Category #2)

Eligible Applicants: County health departments

Native American tribes School departments Non-profit organizations

Awards will not be made to individuals or to for profit organizations

<u>Procedures for Obtaining Funds</u>: Complete the enclosed application by answering <u>all</u> the questions

and providing any requested attachments. Submit the original

plus 3 copies to:

AZ Dept. of Health Services, Office of Oral Health

Community Development Coordinator

1740 W. Adams Street #205

Phoenix, AZ 85007

PLEASE NOTE: Incomplete applications will be returned

Funding Categories:

1. Community Oral Health Needs Assessment and Strategic Plan (Up to \$7,000 per grant):

To determine the most effective, efficient and sustainable means of improving oral health, the specific and unique needs and demands of the community should be known and addressed. The foundation for projects aiming to improve community oral health is a needs assessment. Typical needs assessments include determination of oral health status, existing resources, and perceived needs and demands for one or more segments of the population.

The next step to achieve the desired outcome of a project or program is a complete, well-developed strategic plan. The strategic plan takes priority findings of the needs assessment and provides a roadmap to the development and implementation of programs to address the needs assessment findings.

Note: mini-grant applications requesting funding in this category must complete a needs assessment by June 30, 2005 and a strategic plan by December 31, 2005.

2. Community Oral Health Strategic Plan (Up to \$3,500 per grant):

To achieve the desired outcome of a project or program, the agency, organization or coalition must have a complete, well-developed strategic plan. This category of funding is for entities previously funded by the Office of Oral Health for oral health needs assessment.

Note: all mini-grant applications requesting funding in this category must submit a completed community oral health needs assessment. Strategic plans must be completed by June 30, 2005.

3. Community Oral Health Improvement Projects (Up to \$9,500 per grant):

This mini-grant can be used to implement, pilot, initiate or sustain a <u>new</u> initiative or service to improve oral health. A <u>new</u> initiative or service is defined as one that is presently in the planning stages or within its first year of operation.

Oral health improvement projects must address at least one of the following actions outlined in the National Call to Action to Promote Oral Health:

Action 1: Change Perceptions of Oral Health

Action 2: Overcome Barriers by Replicating Effective Programs and Proven Efforts

Examples of possible initiatives to be funded under this category include but are not limited to such projects as:

- Planning for implementation of evidence based interventions appropriate for the community such as: dental sealant programs, community water fluoridation, etc.
- Activities that develop integrated and comprehensive care programs that include oral
 health care and increase the number and types of settings in which oral health services are
 provided. Examples training of nursing home personnel to provide oral screenings to
 residents, inclusion of oral health in disease management programs for diabetes, inclusion
 of oral health in prenatal care programs
- Make optimal use of oral health and other health care providers in improving access to oral health care. Examples: training of medical providers to conduct oral screenings as part of routine physical exams, provide appropriate patient education and make referrals.

Activities that remove barriers to the use of services. Examples: development of a
program that lets community members know how and when to access oral health
services, development of collaborations or networks to provide transportation or daycare
or other types of assistance so that community members may keep oral health
appointments. Train, encourage and support community dental providers to provide oral
health services to developmentally disabled persons.

Note: mini-grant applications requesting funding in this category must complete the supplemental question sheet at the end of the application. A mid project report must be completed by June 30, 2005 and a final report by December 31, 2005.

Organizations may apply for more than one funding category, however a separate application must be completed for each funding category requested.

Partnership/Collaboration Requirements:

Organizations applying for mini grant funding (all categories) must work in partnership or as a coalition with other community groups and/or agencies. Each collaborating organization should have a role in conducting, managing or directing the project to be supported by the mini-grant.

Funding Exclusions:

Because of the nature of these awards, funding may not be used for:

- Physical construction or renovation of a facility or space within a building.
- Traditional oral health promotion activities alone (e.g., distribution of toothbrushes and oral health education materials, health fairs).
- Direct clinical services or the purchase of dental services.
- Administrative costs (e.g., indirect charges)
- Purchase of food and beverages other than those to be used in educational demonstrations.

Obtaining Technical Assistance:

Technical assistance related to the substance of the application is available by calling Andrea Chiasson at (602) 542-2938 via e-mail at chiassa@azdhs.gov. To access an electronic version of this announcement:

- Contact Jo Merendino at (602) 542-1866 or via email at merendi@azdhs.gov
- Visit our website at: http://azdhs.gov/cfhs/ooh

Determination of Awards:

A Review Panel coordinated by the Arizona Department of Health Services, Office of Oral Health, will review accepted applications. If the application is not approved, the applicant will be contacted regarding their request. Technical assistance may be provided for revising and resubmitting the application. All approved applicants will be notified by the Office of Oral Health and the application will be processed through the Department of Health Services procurement procedures. The procurement process takes at least three weeks.

Review Criteria:

Applications must meet each of the following criteria:

- The effort to be funded is consistent with ADHS, Office of Oral Health's overall mission to promote oral health for the well-being of all Arizona residents.
- If the Funding Category is #3, the applicant must answer the three (3) supplemental questions at the end of the application (p.17). Organizations must demonstrate how the project addresses at least one of two Actions described in the Surgeon General's Oral Health Call to Action:
 - Change Perceptions of Oral Health
 - Overcome Barriers by Replicating Effective Programs and Proven Efforts

The proposal review criteria are on the Oral Health Mini-Grant Proposal Review Form (see p. 16). There are no "weights" or other measures to be applied to these criteria, other than "yes" and "no." Each of the criteria must be met in order for the proposal to be funded. If more applications are received than can be funded, applications that have met all eligibility requirements will be funded in the order in which they were received until all funds are obligated.

Other Requirements:

The organization seeking funding agrees to meet the publication, reporting, and financial requirements of this award:

- **By June 30, 2005**, submit the completed needs assessment OR strategic plan (if funded under category #1 or #2) OR a mid-project report (if funded under category #3).
- **By December 31, 2005,** submit the completed strategic plan (if funded under category #1) OR a final report (if funded under category #3)
- Within 30 days of the completion of the Budget Period, submit a financial statement/summary indicating expenditures incurred in conjunction with this award.
- Any products (manuals, brochures, training curriculum, etc.) created or data collected as a result of mini-grant funding must be submitted along with the required deliverables outlined above and becomes public record.
- All materials published and presentations made through this award must include the following language: "Funded through a grant from the Arizona Department of Health Services and the Health Resources and Services Administration."

Distribution of Funds:

Grant recipients will receive funds upon submission of two deliverables:

- 1. 50% of funds will be dispersed upon submission of the needs assessment (category #1), strategic plan (category #2) or project update report (category #3) to the Office of Oral Health no later than June 30, 2005.
- 2. The remaining 50% of the funds will be dispersed upon completion of the project and the submission of the strategic plan (category #1) OR final report (category #3) to the Office of Oral Health no later than December 31, 2005.

Arizona Department of Health Services Office of Oral Health 1740 W. Adams Street, #010 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

A	DHS Use O	nly:
A:	N	 F

B. Commun	2005 Oral Health Mini- ry: (select only one) ity Oral Health Needs Asses ity Oral Health Strategic Plai ity Oral Health Improvement	sment and Strategic 1 – (Include copy of y	Plan
Application A. Organization N	Information (Coalition, Poame:	ıblic Agency, Non-	Profit Organization):
Check one: Co No	ounty Health Department ative American Tribe	School Depar Non-profit Operation	rganization (include non
Address:			
 City:	Cou	nty:	Zip:
Telephone: B. Contact Per	Fax		
Contact Person's Tit	ile:		
Telephone:	Fax		
E-mail:Address (if different	than above):		
	Cou	nty:	Zip:

II.	Project Information

Name of Project:	

A. Project Description

(Describe the project you are proposing and why you would like to undertake this project.)

B. Collaborating Agencies/Organizations

Describe the relationship in which you are/will be working to implement the service, program or project to be funded. Include information on how the project will be conducted, managed or directed (E.g., by a grass-roots community advisory group; by a distinctly identifiable community component of your organization). Include names of partner groups. **Provide letters of support from each collaborating entity**.

C. Staffing (Describe how your project will be staffed.)

D. Logic Model – Complete a Logic Model. See Instructions & Sample in "Attachments."

Needs/Resources	Goals & Objectives	Strategies/Approaches	Implementation Plan	Evaluation
Target Population to be Served	Goal(s)		Activities: Funding Category #1 & #3 January – December 2005	
Needs of Population to be Served (Funding Category #1, #2, #3: Describe geographic areas/ population to be served)	Outcome Objectives - (Measurable)		Funding Category #2 January – June 2005	
(Funding Category # 3: Also describe population needs)			Process Objectives (All categories) Measurable	
Resources:				
(Funding Category # 1 & # 2: Describe applicant resources to conduct needs assessment/ strategic planning or oral health improvement project				
(Funding Category # 3: Describe population resources)				

III. Budget Information: January 1 – December 31, 2005 (Funding Category #1 & #3) January 1 – June 30, 2005 (Funding Category #2)

Provide a simple budget. Information is needed only for the project for which you are requesting funding, not for the organization as a whole. **Note these requirements**:

- There must be an identifiable in-kind contribution of at least 20% of the funds requested from ADHS-OOH. For example, if the requested mini-grant funding is \$5,000, there must be an identifiable in-kind contribution of at least \$1,000.
- Funds may be used for personnel costs.
- Administrative overhead is <u>not</u> an allowable expense.
- Funds may <u>not</u> be used to pay for direct clinical services, or for physical construction or renovation of a facility or space within a building.
- Funds may not be used to purchase food and beverages except for demonstration purposes.

Use the following format if possible, leaving inapplicable categories blank. Lines G, H, and I are available to add budget categories that are not listed. If this format is not suitable, attach a <u>one-page</u> budget of your own, using the same column headings.

Line	Budget Categories	Mini-Grant	In-Kind/Other * (specify source)	Totals
A	Personnel			
В	Supplies: A. Office B. Other			
С	In-state travel (@ \$0.345/mile)			
D	Postage			
E	Printing/Photocopying			
F	Rent			
G				
Н				
Ι				
	Totals	\$	\$	\$

^{*} The total in-kind contribution must be at least 20% of the requested mini-grant funding

Budget Description: January 1 – December 31, 2005 (Funding Category #1 & #3) IV. January 1 – June 30, 2005 (Funding Category #2)

(Provide a brief description of proposed costs to be funded by the mini-grant. Write a description for each corresponding line number.):

A. Personnel:

Position Title	Hours/week	# of weeks	Salary (rate/wk)	Total

В.	Supplies 1. Office	:		
	2. Other:			
C.	In-State Trave	el		
Ъ	Dantasa			
Д.	Postage			
E	Printing/Photo	oconving		
2.	Timenig Thore	,eopjing		
F.	Rent			
G.				
H.				

V. Attachments

- Complete the following one page Certification and return with the application form.
- If you are a non-profit (vs. public institution), please include documentation verifying your non-profit status.
- Attach one (1) letter of support from each of your identified coalition members or partners demonstrating a commitment to participate in your proposed project.
- Please submit required documentation for each mini-grant category:
- 1. Community Oral Health Needs Assessment/Strategic Planning no additional documentation
- 2. Community Oral Health Strategic Planning completed Needs Assessment
- 3. Community Oral Health Improvement Project Complete the Supplemental Questions on p. 17

Certification

Γhe	(name of organization)
_	his application for funding from the Arizona Department of Health Services, Office of Oral Health Mini-Grant Program.
provided in th Oral Health M	's (name of organization), my signature below certifies that to the best of my knowledge all of the information is application is accurate, and if funded, we agree to comply with the requirements of the ini-Grants Program as described in the announcement, specifically, to meet the reporting equirements of this award:
1.	By June 30, 2005, submit a needs assessment (funding category #1) OR strategic plan (category #2) OR mid project report (funding category #3).
2.	By December 31, 2005, submit a strategic plan (funding category #1) OR final project report (funding category #3). This report should include evaluative statements and recommendations for others who might wish to undertake a similar effort. A copy of each document created in conjunction with this award must be included. A financial statement/summary indicating expenditures incurred in conjunction with this award must also be submitted.
irst deliverab period upon si category # 3).	I am aware that fifty percent (50%) of the award will be distributed upon submission of the and the remainder, fifty percent (50%), will be distributed at the end of the project abmission of the strategic plan (funding category #1) or final project report (funding If funded under category #2, I am aware that one hundred (100%) of the award will be on submission of the strategic plan by June 30, 2005.
	Signature
	Name printed or typed, Title
	Date

Arizona Department of Health Services Office of Oral Health 1740 W. Adams Street, #010 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Oral Health Mini-Grant **Award Acknowledgement**

	(Name of C	Organization)
Acknowledges the acconducting a:	receipt of a grant award of \$	paid to the above agency for developing and
2. Com	munity Oral Health Needs Asses munity Oral Health Strategic Pla munity Oral Health Improvemen	n
Signed:		Date:
Typed Name:		

Attachment: LOGIC MODEL INSTRUCTIONS

Goals & Objectives	Strategies/Approaches	Implementation Plan	Evaluation
	Selected strategies and	Develop detailed action steps	Evaluation must
Goals should be:	approaches must:	including:	include:
-Focused and easy to			-Design/methodology
understand		-Resource identification and	with a valid, reliable
-Achievable	and outcomes objectives	mobilization	assessment tool
Indicate general			
		-Capacity building	-Evaluation plan
the project			
	established theory	-Activities	-Data collection and
			analysis plan
Outcome objectives		-Timelines and scheduling	
must:	_		-Quality assurance plan
-Be related to goals	factors/needs and strengths		
-Be consistent with		participants/clients	
risk and protective			Evaluation should
factors/needs and	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-Staff accountabilities	measure both:
strengths			
	treatment audience	0 1	-Process/formative to see
		strategies/approaches	if the program is being
-Have a timeline			implemented as planned
			-Outcomes/substantive
	responsive	_	(short and long term) to
		effectiveness	determine if goals and
			objectives are being met
Are short and long term	Are the strategies/ approaches	Are the strategies/approaches	Is there ongoing
outcomes tied to the	addressing the outcome	being implemented as written?	assessment and quality
evaluation?	objectives?		improvement?
	-Focused and easy to understand -Achievable Indicate general direction and vision of the project Outcome objectives must: -Be related to goals -Be consistent with risk and protective factors/needs and strengths -Be measurable -Be achievable -Have a timeline	Goals should be: -Focused and easy to understand -Achievable Indicate general direction and vision of the project Outcome objectives must: -Be related to goals -Be consistent with risk and protective factors/needs and strengths -Be measurable -Be achievable -Have a timeline Are short and long term outcomes tied to the approaches must: -Fit established needs, goals and outcomes objectives -Be researched based — either a proven program or established theory -Connect to the identified risk and protective factors/needs and strengths -Determine if program is directed toward a universal, selective, indicated or treatment audience -Be culturally competent, age appropriate and gender responsive	Goals should be: -Focused and easy to understand -Achievable Indicate general direction and vision of the project Outcome objectives -Be related to goals -Be related to goals -Be consistent with risk and protective factors/needs and strengths -Be measurable -Be achievable -Have a timeline Are short and long term outcomes tied to the approaches must: -Fit established needs, goals and outcomes objectives -Be researched based — either a proven program or established theory -Capacity building -Activities -Timelines and scheduling -Recruiting and retaining participants/clients -Staff accountabilities -Staff training to implement strategies/approaches to measure implementation effectiveness

Attachment: SAMPLE of LOGIC MODEL: infant and toddler oral health initiative

Needs/Resources	Goals & Objectives	of LOGIC MODEL: infant and Strategies/Approaches	Implementation Plan	Evaluation
Target Population to be Served	Goal	-The oral health specialist will	Activities	Outcome:
Children age 6 months to 4 years in	Reduce the number of	educate caregivers of WIC	May-Dec 2002	-Analyze parent survey of 1
the WIC program in Small Town,	children in Small Town,	children on the prevention of early	-Small Town, USA health clinic will	year olds to assess bottle use.
USA.	USA with dental decay.	childhood caries including the Lift	hire and supervise the oral health	year olds to assess bottle use.
USA.	OSA with delital decay.	the Lip technique for early	specialist to run this WIC dental	- Review recall schedule to
Needs of Population to be Served		identification of dental caries, oral	program.	verify fluoride varnish is being
-A health assessment survey	Outcome Objectives	hygiene, fluoride supplements and	program.	applied every 3 months.
conducted in Small Town, USA	(Measurable)	use of the cup.	-Existing dental staff at the clinic	applied every 5 months.
revealed that decay rates for the	-By the end of the program,	use of the cup.	will train the oral health specialist.	-Assess number of parents
preschool children are the highest	35% more children will be	-The oral health specialist will	will trail the oral health specialist.	checking their children's teeth
in the US.	drinking from a cup by age	provide fluoride treatments for	-Protocols will be obtained/	monthly for signs of ECC and
-The percentage of children with	1.	high risk children and screen for	developed, evaluated and	compare to baseline.
untreated decay is more than three	1.	early signs of ECC.	implemented on infection control,	compare to basenne.
times higher than children in the	-By the end of the program,	carry signs of Lee.	fluoride varnish protocols, oral health	-Compare baseline df-s to df-s
rest of the state (68% v. 19%)	75% of all high risk		education materials and Lift the Lip	after 10 months.
-The decay rates of preschool	children will have fluoride		techniques.	arei io montis.
children in Small Town, USA have	varnish applied every 3		teemiques.	Process:
been rising over the last 10 years as	months.		-Dental supplies and office supplies	-Review implementation plan
identified in the biannual Small			will be purchased.	monthly will oral health
Town, USA health survey.	-By the end of the program,			specialist and supervisor to
-There are inadequate dental staff	50% more parents will		-Commence seeing clients after	verify activities are occurring
to see all children in need,	know how to check their		training is completed (> month 1).	as planned.
especially the very young children.	children's teeth monthly for			
	signs of early decay.		-Implement data collection system to	-Review activity log and
Resources of Applicant/	a g a a a a g a a a g		establish baseline decay rates.	checklist to verify that all
Population	-By the end of the program,			educational information is
-Strong partnership between the	children aged two will have		Process Objectives	being presented at each
Small Town, USA health center	25% fewer df-s teeth.		By the end of the program:	contact.
and the Women's, Infants' and			-Dental clinics will be held 10 days	
Children's Program (WIC).			per month at WIC in Small Town,	-Regular site visits by trainer
_			USA as measured by participant	to assess safety and quality of
-The health center will hire and			logs.	fluoride varnish applications.
supervise an employee to provide			-A checklist of services rendered and	
early intervention dental education			educational materials dispensed will	
and prevention program.			be maintained by activity log.	
			Fluoride varnish will be applied in a	
-Dental expertise at the Small			safe manner.	
Town, USA dental clinic.			-Dental surveys will be administered	
			and collected.	
			-Recall system will be established.	

Attachment: SAMPLE of LOGIC MODEL: Needs Assessment

Needs/Resources	Goals & Objectives	Strategies/Approaches	Implementation Plan	Evaluation
Target Population to be Served	Goal	-The coalition's dietician will	Activities	Outcome:
Children ages 0-21 in Some	Improve the oral health of	work with the state dental public	May-Dec 2002	-Submission of the final needs
County.	children in Some County.	health program to design a	-Partnership meetings will be held to	assessment report will verify
		children's oral health needs	direct the activities.	completion of the needs
Needs of Population to be Served		assessment.	-Students from the nursing college's	assessment and creation of the
- Limited oral health information is	Outcome Objectives		community health class will assist in	document.
available in Some County.	(Measurable)	-The Basic Screening Survey tool	conducting the needs assessment.	-Meeting minutes will reveal
-Multiple county and community-	-By the end of the contract	will be used as the foundation of	-Data will be collected and analyzed.	whether or not a subcommittee
based organizations are reporting	period, a needs assessment	the needs assessment.	-Findings will be organized into a	on oral health is formed.
difficulty with obtaining dental care for children.	of children in Some County	-Both clinical assessments and	reader-friendly format.	Process:
-The public health nursing program	will be completed.	questionnaires will be used.	-By the end of the contract period, the final report will be draft and	-A brief survey will be
in Some County reports significant	-By the end of the contract	questionnaires will be used.	distributed to coalition members and	distributed to the partners to
oral health needs in the home	period, a report will be		interested parties.	assess the effectiveness of the
visiting program.	written highlighting the		-Final paperwork will be submitted	process to date as well as the
-School nurses report extensive	findings from the needs		to the grantor.	desire to continue into the next
dental problems in children	assessment.			phase of strategic planning.
including pain, swelling and				
infection.	-By the end of the contract			
-The only local dentist in one	period, the local coalition			
community is planning to retire	will establish an oral health			
later this year and he has been	subcommittee to address			
unsuccessful in finding a dentist to	the findings of the needs			
purchase his practice.	assessment.			
Resources of Applicant/				
Population				
-There is a strong community-				
based coalition advocating for the				
social and general health needs of				
Some County residents.				
-The school has offered space to				
hold meetings on oral health issues.				
-The local dentist has agreed to				
participate in the dental meetingsData from the state health				
department on the oral health status				
of the county have been made				
available.				
-The nursing college has agreed to				
participate.				
-A local businessman is interested				

Oral Health Mini-Grant Proposal Review Form

Applica	ınt:	Number:				
Yes	No	Proposal Element				
		 The organization meets eligibility criteria: county health dept., tribe, school, non-profit. There are four copies of the application (original plus 3) 				
		3. All required application materials are included:				
		a. All sections completed including Logic Model (I – IV)				
		Attachments (V):				
		b. Certification				
		c. Needs Assessment (if applicable)				
		d. Letters of Support from collaborating agencies				
		e. Documentation of non-profit status (<i>if applicable</i>)				
		f. Award Acknowledgement form				
		e evaluate each remaining category. Your options are Yes and No-the applicant either sn't meet (no) the category. Check the column appropriate for each element.				
		4. The proposed project can be duplicated in another community/area.				
		5. The role of collaborating organizations is well defined, appropriate and supported by support letters outlining the responsibilities of the collaborators.				
		6. The staffing to conduct the project is well defined and adequate.				
		7. The Logic Model is completed according to the Logic Model Instructions.				
		8. The target population to be served is clearly defined, appropriate and, for proposals other				
		than needs assessments, has a documented/defined oral health need.				
		9. The proposal is consistent with the Office of Oral Health's mission to:				
		promote oral health for the well-being of all Arizona residents and addresses at least one of				
		two Actions in the National Call to Action to Promote Oral Health (if funded under category				
		3) 10. The objective(s) to be undertaken are clearly stated, measurable , appropriate and feasible.				
		11. Strategies are likely to meet identified outcome objectives; strategies are appropriate and consistent with the intent of the grant.				
		12. The timeline (implementation plan) is realistic and appropriate.				
		13. The evaluation measures will measure progress toward the outcome objectives; the methodology for measuring success is realistic within the framework of the grant and the defined project to be completed.				
		14. The budget is clear, complete and appropriate for the project.				
		15. The budget complies with the requirements noted in the budget section of the announcement including evidence of in-kind support of at least 20% of the requested mini-grant funding.				
		16. The supplemental questions are answered clearly and appropriately (<i>if funded under category 3</i>)				

	than needs assessments, has a documented/defined oral health need.
	9. The proposal is consistent with the Office of Oral Health's mission to:
	promote oral health for the well-being of all Arizona residents and addresses at least one of
	two Actions in the National Call to Action to Promote Oral Health (if funded under category
	3)
	10. The objective(s) to be undertaken are clearly stated, measurable , appropriate and
	feasible.
	11. Strategies are likely to meet identified outcome objectives; strategies are appropriate and
	consistent with the intent of the grant.
	12. The timeline (implementation plan) is realistic and appropriate.
	13. The evaluation measures will measure progress toward the outcome objectives; the
	methodology for measuring success is realistic within the framework of the grant and the
	defined project to be completed.
	14. The budget is clear, complete and appropriate for the project.
	15. The budget complies with the requirements noted in the budget section of the
	announcement including evidence of in-kind support of at least 20% of the requested
	mini-grant funding.
	16. The supplemental questions are answered clearly and appropriately (if funded under
	category 3)
Strengths:	
\mathcal{C}	
Weaknesses:	
weaknesses:	
Comments:	

Oral Health Mini Grant 2005

Supplemental Questions

 $Organizations\ seeking\ funding\ under\ category\ 3\ oral\ health\ improvement\ projects\ must\ answer\ the\ following\ three\ questions:$

1)	Please explain how your proposed project advances one or more of the Actions described in the National Call to Action to Promote Oral Health.
2)	Explain how your proposed oral health improvement project addresses the need(s) of your target population. For example, if your proposed project is based on a previous needs assessment, briefly describe the findings of your needs assessment and relate those findings to your proposed project.
3)	Please indicate your strategy for sustaining the oral health improvement project after the funding cycle: